

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/052,048	01/17/2002	James N. Suddath	SJN-10002/16	1478	
7590 03/08/2004			EXAMINER		
Ronald W. Citkowski Gifford, Krass, Groh, Sprinkle, Anderson &			THORNTON, KRISANNE MARIE		
Citkowski, P.C.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	oodward Ave., Suite 400	1744			
Birmingham, 1	Birmingham, MI 48009			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				A			
•	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/052,048	SUDDATH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Krisanne M. Thornto					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory per pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the management of the process of t	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, I. I reply within the statutory minimuriod will apply and will expire SIX. atule, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  some ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Ştatus							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	5 November 2003.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ 7	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) <u></u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideratio					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)□ object	ed to by the Examiner.	Marie			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the			` '			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But  See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been receive nents have been receive priority documents have reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National Stage	<b>;</b>			
Attachmen		_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SBer No(s)/Mail Date	<sub>/08)</sub> 5) ☐ Not	ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				

Application/Control Number: 10/052,048

Art Unit: 1744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Upon further review by the current Examiner, it has been determined that the restriction requirement of 10/06/2003 in not proper and is hereby withdrawn. All claims, 1-21 are examined herein.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 1744

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olk et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,212,333 B1.

Olk et al., teach a medical unit water line sterilization system having a water heater unit 107 that intrinsically functions as a boiler, as evidenced by it's steam production and the requirement of a steam relief valve (see column 4, lines 35-63). Water is supplied from a domestic water supply and sanitized water is produced. A diverter valve mechanism is employed to directionally control the flow and delivery of liquids in the system. The water itself is used to perform heat exchange and the system is provided with a chiller. The temperature is monitored and the system controlled according to predetermined temperature parameters and requirements. Olk et al., further teach that the pressure within the system can be enough to operate without a separate mechanical pump means if so desired (see column 6, lines 53-57).

Olk et al., does teach steam production as well as the delivery of that steam to a device other than the treating system such as an autoclave sterilizer, however, is silent as to the delivery of the steam through the delivery line to a workstation. The need for effective sterilization of dental workstation fluid lines is well recognized, and it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to further utilize the system of Olk et al., by directing the produced steam through said lines to provide the most optimally sanitized system.

### Conclusion

Art Unit: 1744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisanne M. Thornton whose telephone number is 571-272-1279. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Wed. 6:30am-4:00pm and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

March 3, 2004